

**THIS DOCUMENT WAS NOT WRITTEN FOR PUBLICATION
AND IS NOT BINDING PRECEDENT OF THE BOARD**

Filed by: Trial Section Merits Panel
Mail Stop INTERFERENCE
Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Tel: 571-272-9797 Fax: 571-273-0042

Paper No. 18 **21**
Entered October 6, 2005

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES**

ELI RAZON and WALTER von SEGGERN
Junior Party
(U.S. Patent No. 5,950,070)¹

v.

**IGOR K. KHANDROS and
THOMAS H. DISTEFANO**
Senior Party
(Application 09/656,690)²

FAXED

OCT 6 - 2005

**PAT. & T.M. OFFICE
BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES**

Patent Interference No. 105,348

Before SCHAFFER, LEE and MOORE, Administrative Patent Judges.

LEE, Administrative Patent Judge.

Judgment – Bd. Rule 127(b)

¹ Based on Application 08/857,708, filed May 15, 1997. The real party in interest is Kulicke & Soffa Investments, Inc.

² Filed September 7, 2000. Accorded the benefit of Application 09/488,268, filed January 20, 2000; Application 08/984,615, filed December 3, 1997; Application 08/861,280, filed May 21, 1997; Application 08/319,966, filed October 7, 1994; Application 08/030,194, filed April 28, 1993; Application 07/765,928, filed September 24, 1991; Application 07/673,020, filed March 21, 1991; Application 07/586,758, filed September 24, 1990; and PCT Application PCT/US91/06920, filed September 24, 1991. The real party in interest is Tessera, Inc.

Interference No. 105,348
Razon v. Khandros

Junior party Razon has filed a paper abandoning the contest in this interference. (Paper No. 17). The abandonment of contest is treated as a request for entry of adverse judgment. 37 CFR § 41.127(b). The request is herein **granted**.

It is

ORDERED that judgment as to the subject matter of Count 1 is entered against junior party ELI RAZON and WALTER von SEGGERN;

FURTHER ORDERED that junior party ELI RAZON and WALTER von SEGGERN is not entitled to its patent claims 1-15 which correspond to Count 1;

FURTHER ORDERED that if there is a settlement agreement, the parties should note the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 135(c) and Bd. Rule 205; and

FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this judgment be placed in the respective involved application or patent of the parties.

/ss/ Richard E. Schafer
RICHARD E. SCHAFFER
Administrative Patent Judge

/ss/ Jameson Lee
JAMESON LEE
Administrative Patent Judge

BOARD OF PATENT
APPEALS
AND
INTERFERENCES

/s/ James T. Moore
JAMES T. MOORE
Administrative Patent Judge

Interference No. 105,348
Razon v. Khandros

By Facsimile:

Attorney for Junior Party Razon:

610-407-0701 (Fax)
Joshua L. Cohen, Esq.
RatnerPrestia, PC
1235 Westlakes Drive, Suite 301
Berwyn, Pennsylvania 19312

Attorney for senior party Khandros:

908-654-7866 (Fax)
Marcus J. Millet, Esq.
Lerner, David, Littenberg,
Krumholz & Menlik, LLP
600 South Avenue West
Westfield, New Jersey 07090

INTERFERENCE DIGEST

Interference No. 105,348

Paper No. 20

Name: Igor Y. Khandros et al.

Serial No.: 09/656,690

Patent No.

Title: Semiconductor chip assemblies, methods of making same and components for same

Filed: 09/07/00

Interference with Razon et al.

DECISION ON MOTIONS

Administrative Patent Judge, _____ Dated, _____

FINAL DECISION

Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, favorable Dated, 10/6/05

Court, _____ Dated, _____

REMARKS

This should be placed in each application or patent involved in interference in addition to the interference letters.